

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/422,548	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EATON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> LORRAINE SPECTOR	<b>Art Unit</b> 1647

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 22 December 2011 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☒ entered in part. See explanation below.

The amendments to the drawings have been entered. Amendments to the sequence listing are improper, as there is no statement that the computer-readable and "paper" versions are the same. Thus the amended sequence listing is not entered. The above renders the amendment to the specification un-enterable, as the SEQ ID NOs; do not agree with those currently of record. Thus, the amendment to the specification is not entered.

/Jeffrey Stucker/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Units 1647, 1649	/Lorraine Spector/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647
--	---